To: Kowalski, Craig (NFK)[craig.kowalski@MassMail.State.MA.US]

From: Khan, Annie (DPH)

Sent: Thur 4/21/2011 6:13:17 PM

Subject: RE:

Sorry, just got back.

I am not insane, yet!!! There is always chocolate. Haha. I am one of the very few people that have remote access to the lab and the Feds. Next Friday, I have to head to Fall River Superior DA's Office to do Out Service training for the ADAs about Drug Analysis and Questioning a chemist, so coordinating that is taking time.

When the cert is ready I will email to you.

Sorry, got confused with another case for Norfolk. I will take a look at the questions tonight and give you my response based on this case.(I did this for Debi's case and seem to work.) D/C is usually clueless.

Annie

-----Original Message-----From: Kowalski, Craig (NFK)

Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2011 8:48 AM

To: Khan, Annie (DPH) Subject: RE:

Annie,

Second, my case doesn't have an Rx. It's 9 bags of heroin and 4 bags of coke. It appears you guys tested a representative 1 bag of each -- I need to insert a couple questions so that can be explained -- any suggestions? Basically, something that would allow you to explain testing 1 bag rather than all.

Lastly, were you really working at 8pm last night? You chemists are crazy!

Thanks! Craig

Craig F. Kowalski Assistant District Attorney Norfolk District Attorney's Office 45 Shawmut Road Canton, MA 02021 (781) 830-4800, extension 282

The preceding email message (including any attachments) contains information that may be confidential, may be protected by the attorney-client or other applicable privileges, or may constitute non-public information. It is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s) named above. If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete all copies of it from your computer system. Any use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.

----Original Message-----From: Khan, Annie (DPH)

Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2011 8:11 PM

To: Kowalski, Craig (NFK) Subject: RE:

I will coordinate with Dan. Thurs at 9 sounds good. Keep me posted.

I will take a closer look at the questions tomorrow, when I get back from Bristol Superior. Since this is case dealt with Rx, it is less complex than powders.

Also, on a side note: I did a Randolph case with a small amount of cocaine today and defendant's name was Out of curiosity, any relations to the Weymouth case?

Annie

From: Kowalski, Craig (NFK)

Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2011 3:07 PM

To: Khan, Annie (DPH) Subject:

Annie,

It looks like the trial will be going to trial next week afterall. I will be picking a jury on Tuesday. I have several witnesses in this case that will take up Wednesday so I'm thinking the best time for you would be 9am Thursday. Is that a possibility for you? I also summonsed Daniel Renczkowski and he'd go on after you.

Attached are some sample questions for this case I believe taken from samples you sent me before. Does it look okay? Please let me know if I should be asking things differently.

I will email/call you Tuesday after picking the jury to confirm with you that the trial started and then we can discuss your time for testimony. I can be flexible if you have other places to be.

Thanks! Craig

Craig F. Kowalski Assistant District Attorney Norfolk District Attorney's Office 45 Shawmut Road Canton, MA 02021 (781) 830-4800, extension 282

The preceding email message (including any attachments) contains information that may be confidential, may be protected by the attorney-client or other applicable privileges, or may constitute non-public information. It is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s) named above. If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete all copies of it from your computer system. Any use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.